Case 1:07-cv-10839-AKH Docume	ent 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
ROBERT SAKAOS AND TINA SAKAOS	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs, - against -	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hel 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints	llerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTIC	CE OF ADOPTION
instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in a	or Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual ked with an ' \square '' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), d, below.
Plaintiffs, ROBERT SAKAOS AND TINA GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, c	A SAKAOS, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
r n	A DTIEC

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.	☑ Plaintiff, ROBERT S	SAKAOS (hereinafter the	e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and
a citizen of N	New Jersey residing at 33 G	reen Apple Road, Sparta,	, NJ 07871
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this clain	n in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	_		

Case 1:07-cv-10839-AKH Documer	nt 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. Plaintiff, Tina Sakaos (hereinafte residing at 33 Green Apple Road, Sparta, NJ 07871-Plaintiff:	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New Jersey -, and has the following relationship to the Injured
SPOUSE at all relevant times ROBERT SAKAOS, and brid	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
	oand (his wife), Plaintiff ROBERT SAKAOS. Other:
4. In the period from 9/16/2001 to 5/30/of New York and New Jersey as a Sergeant/volunteer	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority red at:
Please be as specific as possible when f	filling in the following dates and locations
✓ The World Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
From on or about 9/16/2001 until 5/30/2002;	Approximately hours per day; for
Approximately 12 hours per day; for	Approximately days total.
Approximately $\overline{257}$ days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
From on or about until,	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
From on or about until;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximately hours per day; for	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site
Approximately days total.	Building/Worksite:
	paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.
5. Injured Plaintiff	
✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
✓ Was exposed to and inhaled o dates at the site(s) indicated above;	or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
✓ Other: Not yet determined	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	\square CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10839-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers ☐; ☐ Contested, b	Jurisdi	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
			Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10839-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/08/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Sinus Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Heartburn/acid reflux/GERD Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: Ear/Hearing Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable

damages: \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of \checkmark retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York November 7, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Sakaos and Tina Sakaos

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice

in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

November 7, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	ROBERT SAKAOS (AND WIFE, TINA SAKAOS),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
===:	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===:	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \[\text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP